



Ref: Application Number 10/ 717, 874

Filing Date: 11/20/2003

Inventor: Charles E. Pfund

Art Unit: 2873

Huy Kim Mai

Honorable Commissioner for Patents

Sir:

Responsive to the Official Action dated 8/31/2004 please amend as follows:

Cancel claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 7 and 8 without prejudice

Cancel claims 5 and 6 without prejudice

Cancel claims 8 and 9 without prejudice

Add the following new claims:

10. A pair of eyeglasses having a clip-on bracket for convenient attachment to a standard pair of eyeglasses which has, when worn, a binocular line of vision comprising:

-a pair of linear polarizer lenses each having a plane of maximum light transmission;

-means for mounting said lenses on said bracket which when worn on said standard pair of eyeglasses orients said maximum planes of transmission at approximately 45° above horizontal and approximately complementary;

-said bracket having means adapted to permit said polarizer lenses to be manually tipped in and out of said line of vision.

11. A pair of eyeglasses with suitable structure to support a pair of linear polarizer lenses, each lens having a plane of maximum light transmission and comprising;

-on said eyeglasses means for mounting said lenses to establish a binocular line of vision for the wearer through said lenses;

-said lenses when worn having said planes of maximum light transmission approximately 45° above horizontal and approximately complementary; and

-said means for mounting said lenses having a horizontal pivot axis member supporting said lenses and adapted for manual tilting of the mounted lenses in and out of said line of vision of the wearer.

Summary of Office Action and Status of Claims

1. Original claims 1-4, 7 and 8 were rejected.

Claims 5, 6 and 9 were objected to.

Claims 4, 5, and 6 have been rewritten and the subject matter presented in new claim 10.

Claims 8 and 9 have been rewritten and the subject matter presented in new claim 11.

2. Objections

Applicant appreciates the Examiner's helpful comments and suggestions in paragraph 2 and has elected to rewrite the new claims in independent form as suggested. Thus each new claim 10 and 11 has been carefully drawn to include a preamble with a general description of all the elements.

3. The Examiners rejections in ¶ 4 under §112 have been noted. Many of the objections and rejections have been met by the cancellation of numerous claims. The Examiner's useful comments have been helpful in drafting new claims 10 and 11. The claims relating to "head tilt" have been cancelled and this feature does not appear in new claims 10 and 11.

4. Rejections Under §102 and §103

Rejected claim 4 has been cancelled and its substance presented in the new claims.

Rejected claim 7 claiming rotating lens glasses has been cancelled and no claim covering this part of the disclosure remains.

Rejected claim 8 has its substance presented in new claims 10 and 11 with substantial additional recitation of former claim 9 with improved further definition of applicants original invention.

The substantive rejections of claims under §§ 102-103 on the patent to Pavelle et al are well taken. Pavelle et al discloses eyeglasses with linear polarizer lenses orientation at 45°. Pavelle further suggests many applications for his invention including a golfer looking at a putting green. Pavelle et al further discloses structure, which permits the linear polarizing lens or lenses to be rotated in the glasses frame, i.e. the structure to change the angle of the plane of transmission to be other than 45°.

The structure disclosed by Pavelle et al does not provide its use for uninterrupted progress in a game of golf and does not provide rapid